



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

more lasting than the transitory initial pupillary constriction, and for this reason I said in my brief notes that the mammalian pupil shows "chiefly" dilatation during asphyxia.

From the above it will be seen that there was no occasion for the surprise nor the original communication of Drs. Guthrie, Guthrie and Ryan.

JOHN AUER

THE ROCKEFELLER INSTITUTE
FOR MEDICAL RESEARCH

FREE PUBLIC MUSEUMS

IN an interesting note in the February 11, 1910, copy of *SCIENCE*, Mr. Baker calls attention to the commendable policy of the Chicago Academy of Sciences, while commenting on Mr. Ward's statement of the liberal practise at the Milwaukee Public Museum, of having its museum open freely to the public, and shows that while the Milwaukee institution has been free to the public since 1905, the Chicago Academy of Sciences has been following that plan since 1894.

The Illinois State Museum of Natural History has been accessible to the public without charge for the last half century, thus preceding the afore-mentioned museums in this good work by many years. It now remains to hear from some museum which has been free to the public for a century.

Doubtless the time is speedily approaching when museums will be as free and as accessible as our libraries. The hours during which museums are commonly open, from nine to five, should doubtless be extended in order that working people might be accommodated. With the disappearance of the candle light period there is no insurmountable obstacle toward making the museums as attractive during the evening hours as during the day time.

The Illinois State Museum is visited possibly more largely by the people from the surrounding villages and towns than by the citizens of Springfield. Previous to the last four months the number of visitors were simply estimated, but during the last three months count has been kept and the number has averaged about 1,500 monthly. The highest at-

tendance was recorded during the first week in last October, when within five days 11,866 people visited the museum.

When the state properly cares for this institution which has had so long and useful a history, and which has a mission of untold value to perform, it will be extensively patronized and amply justify the expenditure necessary to make it one of the most valuable of the free public institutions in the state.

A. R. CROOK

FACTS VS. THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

IN his vice-presidential address before Section L, Professor Dewey took as his text the failure of science teaching to fulfill the prophecies of its priests; and he referred this failure to the custom of teaching science as information rather than as that method of using the mind which is necessary for the manufacture of knowledge. Both elements are essential parts of science; it is, however, important that we keep clearly in mind which aspect we mean when we speak of science-teaching, or of the advancement of science.

We all know that there can be no true science that does not rest solidly upon facts. But the thought must often occur to many of us that there is some danger, especially among the younger scientists, that we may become obsessed with an exaggerated sense of the value of facts as such. Is there not too much emphasis laid by many professors in charge of research students on the mere accumulation of observational, statistical or experimental facts, with too little attention to that side of science which concerns itself with those analytical and synthetic processes that convert facts into valuable ideas? It seems to me that this latter kind of work needs at the present time at least as much encouragement as the other. Of course, there is the possibility for "thinking" to degenerate into profitless speculation; but we are certainly as much in need of the results of thinking about the facts already accumulated as we are of more facts.

It was especially noticeable at the meeting of the association that the younger men pre-